

To: Moore, Kendall[moore.kendall@epa.gov]
Cc: Ramanauskas, Peter[ramanauskas.peter@epa.gov]
From: Mullin, Michelle
Sent: Wed 5/18/2016 11:26:42 PM
Subject: RE: Follow up to Tuesday's Call

OK- a couple more thoughts after reading all the documents. See updates below in green.

If you want to speak tomorrow ahead of our call with the school, I have my calendar up to date.

Michelle Mullin

PCB Coordinator

US EPA Region 10

1200 6th Avenue | Suite 900 | AWT-150

NOTE NEW MAILING ADDRESS

Seattle, WA 98101

mullin.michelle@epa.gov

206-553-1616

www.epa.gov/region10/pcb.html

From: Mullin, Michelle
Sent: Tuesday, May 17, 2016 6:22 PM
To: Moore, Kendall <moore.kendall@epa.gov>
Cc: Ramanauskas, Peter <ramanauskas.peter@epa.gov>
Subject: RE: Follow up to Tuesday's Call

Some rough thoughts as I go through these docs:

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

Thanks,

Michelle Mullin

PCB Coordinator

US EPA Region 10

1200 6th Avenue | Suite 900 | AWT-150

NOTE NEW MAILING ADDRESS

Seattle, WA 98101

mullin.michelle@epa.gov

206-553-1616

www.epa.gov/region10/pcb.html

From: Moore, Kendall

Sent: Monday, May 16, 2016 11:08 AM

To: Mullin, Michelle <Mullin.Michelle@epa.gov>

Cc: Ramanauskas, Peter <ramanauskas.peter@epa.gov>

Subject: FW: Follow up to Tuesday's Call

Ex. 5 - Deliberative Process

From: Mannix, John [<mailto:mannixj@monroe.wednet.edu>]

Sent: Friday, May 13, 2016 12:23 PM

To: Moore, Kendall <moore.kendall@epa.gov>

Cc: Piplic, Devlin <piplicd@monroe.wednet.edu>; Ramanauskas, Peter <ramanauskas.peter@epa.gov>; Mullin, Michelle <Mullin.Michelle@epa.gov>; Bernard, Nancy (DOH) <Nancy.Bernard@doh.wa.gov>; Amanda Zych <azych@snohd.org>; Star, David <star.david@epa.gov>; Peachey, Robert <peachey.robert@epa.gov>

Subject: Re: Follow up to Tuesday's Call

Kendall, et al.,

My apologies for our confusion regarding the specific information you were requesting be included in this email. The answers to the four questions posed in your email of yesterday afternoon could certainly have been provided within "a day or so", but answering the additional issues that Devlin and I understood were also desired took some research and verification, and therefore took far longer.

At any rate, attached please find the following documents:

1) Email to EPA & SCHD re PCBs at SVEC (05-12-2016) - Answers to the four questions requested via telephone conference on May 10, 2016, and submitted via email on May 12, 2016.

2) PBS PCB Retesting Map (SVEC) (1) - Building floor plan depicting locations of wipe and air samples to be taken by PBS Environmental to re-test locations previously found to have some level of PCBs present in the air on the surface of a fluorescent light fixture.

3) Summary of Activities to Date (SVEC) - A chronology of investigative and remedial activities undertaken to date regarding the IAQ concerns at Sky Valley Education Center. The document is broken out by primary categorical area of concern. I.E., PCBs, Ventilation, Asbestos, Housekeeping, etc.

4) Deep Cleaning Map for SVEC (May 2016) - Building floor plan depicting what areas

of the Sky Valley buildings were deep cleaned by our in-house custodial staff, and which were deep cleaned by a contract crew (Seattle Facility Services).

5) PBS SVEC IAQ Sampling Plan (FINAL) 02 09 2016 - The sampling plan that was implemented based on PBS Environmental recommendations, as augmented by Sky Valley staff and parent concerns.

Thank you for the opportunity to provide this information to you, and receive additional guidance, in advance of our submission of our formal response on or before March 25, 2016.

Respectfully,

John Mannix

Assistant Superintendent for Operations

200 E. Fremont Street

Monroe, WA 98272

(360) 804-2579

Monroe Public Schools provides an outstanding education that results in all students having a passion for learning.

On Thu, May 12, 2016 at 3:26 PM, Mannix, John <mannixj@monroe.wednet.edu> wrote:

Kendall,

We are working on that document, but understood that we had "a couple" of days to provide it. I understand that a couple is generally considered to be two days, and had hoped to be able to provide the information to you by the end of today. I had not taken into account the difference in time zones, and my original target had been the end of the work day for me... here in the Pacific Time Zone. My apologies for that oversight.

In addition to the four items you outline above, were also asked to provide an outline of all remediation activities to date, and current or planned responses to the Best Management Practices that Michelle provided. This has added significantly to the work required.

I am just finishing up the document of remediation activities to date, and will shortly be again working on answers to the four questions posed above. Devlin and I had a meeting this morning with Gregg Middaugh from PBS Environmental to try to clarify how the paint sample was collected, and to finalize the re-testing plan and procedure, so I will be able to update you on those issues.

Rest assured that I understand your desire to receive this information in a timely fashion, and that there is benefit to myself and the school district in doing so. We greatly appreciate your offer of an early review and additional guidance, and are working diligently in order to be able to provide you with the information you require.

Respectfully,

John Mannix

Assistant Superintendent for Operations

200 E. Fremont Street

Monroe, WA 98272

(360) 804-2579

Monroe Public Schools provides an outstanding education that results in all students having a passion for learning.

On Thu, May 12, 2016 at 2:38 PM, Moore, Kendall <moore.kendall@epa.gov> wrote:

John and Devlin, we are reaching the end of the business day here in Chicago and we have not seen the email from you summarizing Tuesday's call. As I recall you agreed to send an email within a day or so clarifying some of the topics discussed during the call. Specifically, you agreed to provide:

1. specifics on how many lights have been cleaned and if there are more that need cleaning
2. a schedule for the repeat wipe and air sampling that you stated your consultant will perform next week.
3. Information on how your consultant collected the paint sample.
4. a description of your proposal to remove the PCB caulk

Although we did not designate a definite time when this email is due I think it was clear that it was expected within one or two days. It's important that you provide us this information quickly so we can give you the guidance you'll need to submit your remediation plan by May 25th. To avoid unnecessary delays please submit your email by close of business central time, tomorrow,

May 13th. Thanks in advance and feel free to call me if you questions regarding this email.

Kendall Moore

312-353-1147

Any dissemination or use of this information by a person other than the intended recipient is unauthorized and may be illegal. If you have received this e-mail in error, please immediately notify us by return e-mail. All email to and from this domain is archived as a public record in compliance with federal and state requirements. As such they may be both discoverable in a legal action and available through a public records request.